

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Remarks with respect to the Drawings

Applicant has amended Paragraph [0037] to add the proper reference characters that are shown in Figure 3 as required by the Examiner.

Remarks with respect to Double Patenting

Applicant will file a terminal disclaimer if required by the Examiner after the requested phone conference if it is so determined that the scope of the claims are to remain as is without modification prior to allowance.

Remarks with respect to Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by Bell (US 2002/0049778).

Respectfully Bell is directed at copying entire sets of enterprise data and is silent to copying a first data file from a first work site to a second work site... performing at least one operation at a second at the second work site... copying the at least one operation between the second work site and the first work site ...applying the OPERATION at the SECOND WORK SITE on the FIRST PROJECT DATA FILE and producing a finished work product at the first work site WITHOUT COPYING the second data file to the first work site. Specifically, Bell makes no distinction between copying operations applied to a data file or copying a data file and merely provides enterprise level replication of entire data files over fast data links so that a large data file operated on in one site is merely copied IN ENTIRETY to another site without simply copying the operations and applying them to the first data file. I.e., if a data file changes on one location Bell copies the entire file to another location for backup over high bandwidth fibre optics (as per Paragraph [0011]).

Applicant requests a telephone interview for the purpose of respectfully walking the Examiner through the operation of the invention as claimed so that prosecution may be advanced in rapid order as the cited reference is respectfully not directed at Applicant's claimed invention.

Appl. No. 10/709,275
Response dated 7/19/2007
Reply to Office Action of 4/19/2007

CONCLUSION

It is believed that claims 1-38 are allowable over the cited art. A Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited. Please see the attached telephonic interview request form as Applicant desires to review the invention with the Examiner at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted,
Dalina Law Group, P.C.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Joseph J. Mayo".

Joseph J. Mayo, Reg. No.: 53,288
P. (858) 442-5877
F. (858) 777-5425